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## Instructions

Read carefully the wording of the problem before you start. There are four problems altogether. You may use a A4 sheet of paper and a mathematical handbook. Please write all the answers on the sheets provided. You have two hours.

| Problem | a. | b. | c. | d. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. |  |  |  | $\bullet$ |  |
| 2. |  |  |  | $\bullet$ |  |
| 3. |  |  |  | $\bullet$ |  |
| 4. |  |  |  | $\bullet$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

1. (25) Suppose a stratified sample is taken from a population of size $N$. The strata are of size $N_{1}, N_{2}, \ldots, N_{K}$, and the simple random samples are of size $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{K}$. Denote by $\mu$ the population mean and by $\sigma^{2}$ the population variance for the entire population, and by $\mu_{k}$ and $\sigma_{k}^{2}$ the population means and the population variances for the strata.
a. (5) Show that

$$
\sigma^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k} \sigma_{k}^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k}\left(\mu_{k}-\mu\right)^{2}
$$

where $w_{k}=\frac{N_{k}}{N}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, K$.
Solution: by definition we have

$$
\sigma^{2}=\frac{1}{N}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}}\left(y_{k i}-\mu\right)^{2}\right)
$$

where $y_{k i}$ is the value for the $i$-th unit in the $k$-th stratum. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}}\left(y_{k i}-\mu\right)^{2}= \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}}\left(y_{k i}-\mu_{k}+\mu_{k}-\mu\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}}\left(y_{k i}-\mu_{k}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}}\left(\mu_{k}-\mu\right)^{2}+2\left(\mu_{k}-\mu\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}}\left(y_{k i}-\mu\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}}\left(y_{k i}-\mu_{k}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}}\left(\mu_{k}-\mu\right)^{2} \\
& \quad=N_{k} \sigma_{k}^{2}+N_{k}\left(\mu_{k}-\mu\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this in the above summation gives the result.
b. (10) Let $\bar{Y}_{k}$ be the sample average in the $k$-th stratum for $k=1,2, \ldots, K$ and $\bar{Y}=\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k} \bar{Y}_{k}$ the unbiased estimator of the population mean. The estimators $\bar{Y}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{Y}_{n}$ are assumed to be independent. To estimate $\sigma^{2}$, we need to estimate the quantity

$$
\sigma_{b}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k}\left(\mu_{k}-\mu\right)^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k} \mu_{k}^{2}-\mu^{2} .
$$

The estimator

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{b}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k} \bar{Y}_{k}^{2}-\bar{Y}^{2}
$$

is suggested. Show that

$$
E\left(\hat{\sigma}_{b}^{2}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k}\left(1-w_{k}\right) \operatorname{var}\left(\bar{Y}_{k}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k} \mu_{k}^{2}-\mu^{2} .
$$

Solution: we know that

$$
E\left(\bar{Y}_{k}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{var}\left(\bar{Y}_{k}^{2}\right)+\mu_{k}^{2}
$$

and

$$
E\left(\bar{Y}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{var}(\bar{Y})+\mu^{2} .
$$

We have

$$
E\left(\hat{\sigma}_{b}^{2}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k}\left(\operatorname{var}\left(\bar{Y}_{k}^{2}\right)+\mu_{k}^{2}\right)-\operatorname{var}(\bar{Y})-\mu^{2} .
$$

Taking into account that

$$
\operatorname{var}(\bar{Y})=\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k}^{2} \operatorname{var}\left(\bar{Y}_{k}\right),
$$

the result follows.
c. (10) Is there an unbiased estimator of $\sigma^{2}$ ? Explain your answer.

Solution: we know that

$$
\sigma^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k} \sigma_{k}^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k}\left(\mu_{k}-\mu\right)^{2}
$$

We have unbiased estimators for $\sigma_{k}^{2}$. The second term can be estimated by

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k} \bar{Y}_{k}^{2}-\bar{Y}^{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k}\left(1-w_{k}\right) \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{k}^{2}}{n_{k}} \cdot \frac{N_{k}-n_{k}}{N_{k}-1} .
$$

This last term is an unbiased estimator of the second term.
2. (25) Gauss's gamma distribution is given by the density

$$
f(x, y)=\sqrt{\frac{\nu}{2 \pi}} \sqrt{y} e^{-y} e^{-\frac{\nu y(x-\mu)^{2}}{2}}
$$

for $-\infty<x<\infty$ and $y>0$ and $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty)$. Assume that the observations are pairs $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ generated as independent random pairs $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(X_{n}, Y_{n}\right)$ with density $f(x, y)$.
a. (10) Compute the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters.

Solution: the log-likelihood function is

$$
\ell=\frac{n}{2} \log \left(\frac{2 \nu}{\pi}\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1}{2} \log y_{k}-y_{k}\right)-\frac{\nu}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{k}\left(x_{k}-\mu\right)^{2} .
$$

Set the partial derivatives to 0 to get

$$
\frac{n}{2 \nu}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{k}\left(x_{k}-\mu\right)^{2}=0
$$

and

$$
\nu \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{k}\left(x_{k}-\mu\right)=0 .
$$

The second equation gives

$$
\hat{\mu}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} y_{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{k}} .
$$

Insert $\hat{\mu}$ into the second equation to get

$$
\hat{\nu}=\frac{n}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{k}\left(x_{k}-\hat{\mu}\right)^{2}} .
$$

b. (10) Find the Fisher information matrix. Assume as known that $E(X Y)=\mu$. Compute $E(Y)$ yourself by computing the marginal density of $Y$.

Solution: we compute the second partial derivatives of the likelihood function for $n=1$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} \ell}{\partial \mu^{2}} & =-\nu y_{1} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ell}{\partial \nu^{2}} & =-\frac{1}{2 \nu^{2}} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} \ell}{\partial \mu \partial \nu} & =y_{1}\left(x_{1}-\mu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating the density with respect to $x$ gives that $Y \sim \exp (1)$, and hence $E\left(Y_{1}\right)=1$. It follows that

$$
I(\mu, \nu)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\nu & 0 \\
0 & \frac{1}{2 \nu^{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

c. (5) Give the approximate standard error of the maximum likelihood estimates.

Solution: using the Fisher's information matrix gives

$$
\operatorname{se}(\hat{\mu}) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{n \nu}} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{se}(\hat{\nu}) \approx \frac{\sqrt{2} \nu}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

3. (20) Assume that your observations are pairs $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$. Assume the pairs are an i.i.d. sample from the bivariate normal density

$$
f_{X, Y}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^{2}-2 \rho(x-\mu)(y-\nu)+(y-\nu)^{2}}{2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}} .
$$

Assume that $\rho \in(-1,1)$ is known. We would like to test the hypothesis

$$
H_{0}: \mu=\nu \quad \text { versus } \quad H_{1}: \mu \neq \nu .
$$

a. (10) Find the maximum likelihood estimates for $\mu$ and $\nu$.

Solution: derivation, after cancelling constants, gives the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(x_{k}-\mu\right)-\rho \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(y_{k}-\nu\right) & =0 \\
-\rho \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(x_{k}-\mu\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(y_{k}-\nu\right) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by $n$ and rearranging yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu-\rho \nu & =\bar{x}-\rho \bar{y} \\
-\rho \mu+\nu & =-\rho \bar{x}+\bar{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

The solutions are $\hat{\mu}=\bar{x}$ and $\hat{\nu}=\bar{y}$. If $\mu=\nu$, the log-likelihood function becomes

$$
\log \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}}\right)-\frac{1}{2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left(x_{k}-\mu\right)^{2}-2 \rho\left(x_{k}-\mu\right)\left(y_{k}-\mu\right)+\left(y_{k}-\mu\right)^{2}\right) .
$$

Taking derivatives we get

$$
\frac{1}{2\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(-2\left(x_{k}-\mu\right)+2 \rho\left(y_{k}-\mu\right)+2 \rho\left(x_{k}-\mu\right)-2\left(y_{k}-\mu\right)\right) .
$$

Equating to zero yields

$$
2 n(1-\rho) \mu=(1-\rho) \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(x_{k}+y_{k}\right)
$$

and

$$
\tilde{\mu}=\tilde{\nu}=\frac{1}{2 n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(x_{k}+y_{k}\right) .
$$

b. (10) Find the likelihood ratio statistic for testing the above hypothesis. What is the approximate distribution of the test statistic under $H_{0}$ ?

Solution: we have

$$
\lambda=2 \ell(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu})-2 \ell(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}) .
$$

Denote

$$
\bar{z}=\frac{\bar{x}+\bar{y}}{2} .
$$

Using the above estimates yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda= & \frac{1}{\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}\left(\left(x_{k}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}-2 \rho\left(x_{k}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{k}-\bar{y}\right)+\left(y_{k}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}\left(\left(x_{k}-\bar{z}\right)^{2}-2 \rho\left(x_{k}-\bar{z}\right)\left(y_{k}-\bar{z}\right)+\left(y_{k}-\bar{z}\right)^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

After some manipulation we get

$$
\lambda=\frac{1}{1-\rho^{2}}\left(-n\left(\bar{x}^{2}-2 \rho \bar{x} \bar{y}+\bar{y}^{2}\right)+2 n(1-\rho) \bar{z}^{2}\right) .
$$

The approximate distribution of $\lambda$ under $H_{0}$ is $\chi^{2}(1)$.
c. (5) What is the distribution of $\bar{X}-\bar{Y}$ if $H_{0}$ holds? Can you use the result to give an alternative test statistic to test the above hypothesis? What is the distribution of your test statistic under $H_{0}$ ?

Solution: if $H_{0}$ holds, we have $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}-\bar{Y}) \sim \mathrm{N}(0,2(1-\rho))$. An alternative test statistic would be

$$
Z=\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}-\bar{Y})}{\sqrt{2(1-\rho)}}
$$

which is standard normal. We reject $H_{0}$ if $|Z| \geq z_{\alpha}$ where $z_{\alpha}$ is such that $P\left(|Z| \geq z_{\alpha}\right)=\alpha$.
4. (25) Assume the regression equations are

$$
Y_{k}=\alpha+\beta x_{k}+\epsilon_{k}
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$. The error terms satisfy the assumptions that

$$
E\left(\epsilon_{k}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{var}\left(\epsilon_{k}\right)=\sigma^{2}\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$, and

$$
\operatorname{cov}\left(\epsilon_{k}, \epsilon_{l}\right)=\sigma^{2} \tau^{2}
$$

for $k \neq l$, where $\tau^{2}$ is assumed to be a known constant. Assume that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=0$.
a. (10) Denote $\bar{Y}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_{k}$. Compute

$$
\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{k}-c \bar{Y}, Y_{l}-c \bar{Y}\right)
$$

for $k \neq l$. Here $c$ is an arbitrary constant.
Solution: from the assumptions we have

$$
\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{k}, \bar{Y}\right)=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\left(1+n \tau^{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{cov}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Y})=\frac{\sigma^{2}}{n}\left(1+n \tau^{2}\right) .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{cov} & \left(Y_{k}-c \bar{Y}, Y_{l}-c \bar{Y}\right) \\
\quad= & \operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{k}, Y_{l}\right)-2 c \cdot \operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{k}, \bar{Y}\right)+c^{2} \cdot \operatorname{cov}(\bar{Y}, \bar{Y}) \\
& =\sigma^{2}\left(\tau^{2}-\frac{2 c}{n}\left(1+n \tau^{2}\right)+\frac{c^{2}}{n}\left(1+n \tau^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

b. (10) Find an explicit formula for the best linear unbiased estimator of $\beta$.

Hint: choose

$$
c=1-\sqrt{\frac{1}{1+n \tau^{2}}} .
$$

Solution: with the above choice of $c$ we have that $c \in(0,1)$ and

$$
\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{k}-c \bar{Y}, Y_{l}-c \bar{Y}\right)=0
$$

for $k \neq l$. Define

$$
\tilde{Y}_{k}=Y_{k}-c \bar{Y}
$$

$$
\tilde{\epsilon}_{k}=\epsilon_{k}-c \bar{\epsilon}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{X}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-c & x_{1} \\
1-c & x_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
1-c & x_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We have

$$
\tilde{Y}_{k}=\alpha(1-c)+\beta x_{k}+\tilde{\epsilon}_{k}
$$

for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$. The new regression equations satisfy the usual assumptions of the Gauss-Markov theorem. The best linear estimators of the regression parameters are

$$
\binom{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{\beta}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n(1-c)^{2} & 0 \\
0 & \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{2}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\binom{(1-c) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \tilde{Y}_{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} \tilde{Y}_{k}} .
$$

We get

$$
\hat{\beta}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} \tilde{Y}_{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{2}} \cdot=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} Y_{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{2}}
$$

The last equality follows from the assumption $\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=0$.
c. (5) Compute the variance of the best linear unbiased estimator $\hat{\beta}$.

Solution: we compute directly

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{var}(\hat{\beta}) & =\operatorname{var}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} Y_{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{2}\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)+\sum_{\substack{k, l \\
k \neq l}} x_{k} x_{l} \tau^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\sigma^{2}}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{2}\left(1+\tau^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\sigma^{2}\left(1+\tau^{2}\right)}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

