University of Ljubljana, School of Economics Quantitative finance and actuarial science Probability and statistics Written examination September 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2024

NAME AND SURNAME: \_\_\_\_\_

ID:

## INSTRUCTIONS

Read the problems carefull before staring your work. There are four problems. You can have a sheet with formulae and a mathematical handbook. Write your solutions on the paper provided. You have two hours.

Problem	a.	b.	c.	d.	Total
1.				•	
2.				•	
3.			•	•	
4.				•	
Total			$\mathcal{O}$		

1. (25) Suppose the population is stratified into K strata of sizes  $N_1, \ldots, N_K$ . Denote by  $\mu_k$  the population mean in stratum k and by  $\sigma_k^2$  the population variance in stratum k for  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$ . Let  $\mu$  be the population mean for the whole population and  $\sigma^2$ the population variance for the whole population. Suppose a stratified sample is taken with sample sizes in each stratum equal to  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_K$ . Let  $\bar{X}_k$  be the sample mean in stratum k and let

$$\bar{X} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{N_k}{N} \bar{X}_k = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k \bar{X}_k.$$

a. (5) Compute  $E\left[\left(\bar{X}_k - \bar{X}\right)^2\right]$ .

Solution: we compute

$$E\left[\left(\bar{X}_{k}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}\right] = \operatorname{var}\left(\bar{X}_{k}-\bar{X}\right) + \left(E\left(\bar{X}_{k}-\bar{X}\right)\right)^{2}$$
  
$$= \operatorname{var}(\bar{X}_{k}) + \operatorname{var}(\bar{X}) - 2\operatorname{cov}(\bar{X}_{k},\bar{X}) + (\mu_{k}-\mu)^{2}$$
  
$$= \frac{\sigma_{k}^{2}}{n_{k}} \cdot \frac{N_{k}-n_{k}}{N_{k}-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_{i}^{2} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}} \cdot \frac{N_{i}-n_{i}}{N_{i}-1}$$
  
$$-2w_{k} \cdot \frac{\sigma_{k}^{2}}{n_{k}} \cdot \frac{N_{k}-n_{k}}{N_{k}-1} + (\mu_{k}-\mu)^{2}.$$

b. (10) Suggest an unbiased estimator for the quantity

$$\gamma^2 = \sum_{k=1}^K w_k (\mu_k - \mu)^2$$

Explain why the suggested estimator is unbiased.

Solution: since we have unbiased estimators for  $\sigma_k^2$  the quantity

$$\hat{\gamma}_{k}^{2} = \left(\bar{X}_{k} - \bar{X}\right)^{2} - \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{k}^{2}}{n_{k}} \cdot \frac{N_{k} - n_{k}}{N_{k} - 1} - \sum_{i=1}^{K} w_{i}^{2} \cdot \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}} \cdot \frac{N_{i} - n_{i}}{N_{i} - 1} + 2w_{k} \cdot \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{k}^{2}}{n_{k}} \cdot \frac{N_{k} - n_{k}}{N_{k} - 1}$$

is an unbiased estimator of  $(\mu_k - \mu)^2$ . Multiplying  $\gamma_k^2$  by  $w_k$  and summing over k we get an unbiased estimator of  $\gamma^2$ .

c. (10) Suggest an unbiased estimator of the population variance  $\sigma^2$ . Explain why your estimator is unbiased.

*Hint: check that* 

$$\sigma^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k} \sigma_{k}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k} (\mu_{k} - \mu)^{2}.$$

Solution: we write

$$\sigma^2 = \sum_{k=1}^K w_k \sigma_k^2 + \gamma^2 \,.$$

Since both terms on the right can be estimated in an unbiased way we have that

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k \hat{\sigma}_k^2 + \hat{\gamma}^2$$

is an unbiased estimator of  $\hat{\sigma}^2$ .

**2.** (25) Assume the sample values  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  are in independent identically distributed sample from the gamma distribution with parameters a = 2 and  $\lambda$ . The density of the distribution is

$$f(x) = \lambda^2 x e^{-\lambda x}$$

for x > 0.

a. (5) Find explicitly the maximum likelihood estimator for the parameter  $\lambda$ .

Solution: the log-likelihood function is

$$\ell(\lambda|\mathbf{x}) = 2n\log\lambda + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\log x_k - \lambda\sum_{k=1}^{n}x_k.$$

Taking derivatives and equation to 0 we get

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{2n}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k} \,.$$

b. (10) Fix the maximum likelihood estimator so that it will be unbiased.

*Hint:* if U and V are independent with  $U \sim \Gamma(a, \lambda)$  and  $V \sim \Gamma(b, \lambda)$  then  $U + V \sim \Gamma(a + b, \lambda)$ .

Solution: following the hint, we have  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k \sim \Gamma(2n, \lambda)$ . We compute

$$\begin{split} E(\hat{\lambda}) &= E\left(\frac{2n}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}}\right) \\ &= 2n \frac{\lambda^{2n}}{\Gamma(2n)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} \cdot x^{2n-1} e^{-\lambda x} \, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= 2n \frac{\lambda^{2n}}{\Gamma(2n)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(2n-1)}{\lambda^{2n-1}} \\ &= \frac{2n\lambda}{2n-1} \\ &= \frac{2n}{2n-1} \lambda \,. \end{split}$$

An unbiased estimator is

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{2n-1}{2n} \,\hat{\lambda} = \frac{2n-1}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k} \,.$$

c. (10) Using Fisher information find the approximate standard error for the maximum likelihood estimator. Solution: compute for n = 1.

$$\ell'' = -\frac{2}{\lambda^2} \,.$$

The approximate standard error is

$$\operatorname{se}(\hat{\lambda}) = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2n}}.$$

**3.** (25) Assume that your observations are pairs  $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$ . Assume the pairs are an i.i.d. sample from the density

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = e^{-x} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x\sigma}} e^{-\frac{(y-\theta x)^2}{2\sigma^2 x}}$$

for  $\sigma > 0, x > 0, -\infty < y < \infty$ . We would like to test the hypothesis

$$H_0: \theta = 0$$
 versus  $H_1: \theta \neq 0$ .

a. (10) Find the maximum likelihood estimates for  $\theta$  and  $\sigma$ .

Solution: the log-likelihood function is

$$\ell\left(\theta,\sigma|\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(-\frac{n}{2}\log(2\pi) - n\log\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\log x_k - \frac{(y_k - \theta x_k)^2}{2\sigma^2 x_k}\right)$$

Take partial derivatives to get

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(y_k - \theta x_k)}{\sigma^2}$$
$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{n}{\sigma} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(y_k - \theta x_k)^2}{\sigma^3 x_k}$$

Set the partial derivatives to 0. From the first equation we have

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k}$$

and from the second

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(y_k - \hat{\theta} x_k)^2}{x_k}.$$

b. (15) Find the likelihood ratio statistic for testing the above hypothesis. What is the approximate distribution of the test statistic under  $H_0$ ?

Solution: if  $\theta = 0$  the log-likelihood functions attains its maximum for

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{y_k^2}{x_k} \,.$$

It follows that

$$\lambda = -n \log \left( 1 - \frac{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{k}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{y_{k}^{2}}{x_{k}}} \right)$$

The approximate distribution of  $\lambda$  is  $\chi^2(1)$ .

4. (25) Suppose that we have the regression model

$$Y_{i1} = \alpha + \beta x_{i1} + \epsilon_i$$
  
$$Y_{i2} = \alpha + \beta x_{i2} + \eta_i$$

where i = 1, 2, ..., n and we have  $E(\epsilon_i) = E(\eta_i) = 0$ ,  $var(\epsilon_i) = var(\eta_i) = \sigma^2$  and  $cov(\epsilon_i, \eta_i) = \rho\sigma^2$  for some correlation coefficient  $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ . Further assume that the pairs  $(\epsilon_1, \eta_1), (\epsilon_2, \eta_2), ..., (\epsilon_n, \eta_n)$  are independent.

a. (5) Denote

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{11} \\ 1 & x_{12} \\ 1 & x_{21} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} \\ 1 & x_{n2} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} \\ Y_{12} \\ Y_{21} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{n1} \\ Y_{n2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

 $\mathbf{Is}$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ \hat{\beta} \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{Y}$$

an unbiased estimator of the two regression parameters? Explain.

Solution: by assumptions

$$E(\mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{X} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

Using this and the rules for expectations it follows that the estimate is unbiased.

b. (10) Suggest an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

Solution: one possibility is to use only every second observation and use the usual unbiased estimator for  $\sigma^2$ .

c. (10) Suppose that  $\rho$  is known and define new pairs

$$\tilde{Y}_{i1} = (\sqrt{1-\rho} + \sqrt{1+\rho})Y_{i1} + (\sqrt{1-\rho} - \sqrt{1+\rho})Y_{i2} 
\tilde{Y}_{i2} = (\sqrt{1-\rho} - \sqrt{1+\rho})Y_{i1} + (\sqrt{1-\rho} + \sqrt{1+\rho})Y_{i2} 
\tilde{x}_{i1} = (\sqrt{1-\rho} + \sqrt{1+\rho})x_{i1} + (\sqrt{1-\rho} - \sqrt{1+\rho})x_{i2} 
\tilde{x}_{i2} = (\sqrt{1-\rho} - \sqrt{1+\rho})x_{i1} + (\sqrt{1-\rho} + \sqrt{1+\rho})x_{i2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\epsilon}_i &= (\sqrt{1-\rho} + \sqrt{1+\rho})\epsilon_i + (\sqrt{1-\rho} - \sqrt{1+\rho})\eta_i \\ \tilde{\eta}_i &= (\sqrt{1-\rho} - \sqrt{1+\rho})\epsilon_i + (\sqrt{1-\rho} + \sqrt{1+\rho})\eta_i \end{aligned}.$$

Define  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  accordingly. The new pairs satisfy the equations

$$\begin{split} \tilde{Y}_{i1} &= \alpha_1 + \beta \tilde{x}_{i1} + \tilde{\epsilon}_i \\ \tilde{Y}_{i2} &= \alpha_1 + \beta \tilde{x}_{i2} + \tilde{\eta}_i \end{split}$$

where  $\alpha_1 = 2\sqrt{1-\rho} \alpha$ . Argue that this new model satisfies the usual conditions for the regression models. What is then the best linear unbiased estimator of the regression parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Explain.

Solution: we need to prove  $E(\tilde{\epsilon}_i) = E(\tilde{\eta}_i) = 0$  which follows easily. By a computation we prove that  $\operatorname{var}(\epsilon_i) = \operatorname{var}(\eta_i) = 4(1-\rho^2)\sigma^2$  and  $\operatorname{cov}(\tilde{\epsilon}_i, \tilde{\eta}_i) = 0$ . The best linear unbiased estimator for  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta$  is given by the Gauss-Markov theorem. But because  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha_1$  differ by a known constant it follows that  $\alpha/(2\sqrt{1-\rho})$  is the best unbiased estimate for  $\alpha$ .