

SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS  
DOCTORAL PROGRAMME IN ECONOMICS  
PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS  
WRITTEN EXAMINATION  
FEBRUARY 14<sup>th</sup>, 2025

NAME AND SURNAME: \_\_\_\_\_ ID: 

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

INSTRUCTIONS

Read the problems carefully before starting your work. There are four problems. You can have a sheet with formulae and a mathematical handbook. Write your solutions on the paper provided. You have two hours.

| Problem | a. | b. | c. | d. | Total |
|---------|----|----|----|----|-------|
| 1.      |    |    | •  | •  |       |
| 2.      |    |    | •  | •  |       |
| 3.      |    |    |    | •  |       |
| 4.      |    |    |    |    |       |
| Total   |    |    |    |    |       |

1. (25) A population of size  $N$  is divided into  $K$  groups of equal size  $M = N/K$ . A sample is selected in such a way that  $k$  groups are selected by simple random sampling, and then all the units in the selected groups are selected.

- a. (10) Show that the sample average  $\bar{Y}$  is an unbiased estimate of the population mean.

*Solution: let  $\mu_i$  be the population mean in the  $i$ -th group. In the sampling procedure described we are choosing a simple random sample of groups and we observe  $\mu_i$  for this group. The estimator  $\bar{Y}$  is just a sample average of the  $\mu_i$  selected. The expectation is therefore the average of all  $\mu_i$ s which is  $\mu$ .*

- b. (15) Let  $\mu_i$  be the population mean in group  $i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$  and let  $\mu$  be the population mean. Define

$$\sigma_b^2 = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^K (\mu_i - \mu)^2.$$

Show that

$$\text{se}(\bar{Y}) = \frac{\sigma_b}{\sqrt{k}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{K-k}{K-1}}.$$

*Solution: think of groups as units selected and to each group assign the value  $\mu_i$ . The formula is then the formula for the standard error of such a sample average. But  $\bar{Y}$  is equal to this sample average.*

2. (25) The Gumbel distribution of type 1 for extreme values is given by the density

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\beta} e^{\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}} e^{-e^{\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}}}.$$

for  $\beta > 0$ ,  $-\infty < \mu < \infty$  and  $-\infty < x < \infty$ . Assume as known the following integrals

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2u} e^{-e^u} du &= 1 \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u e^u e^{-e^u} du &= -\gamma, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u e^{2u} e^{-e^u} du &= 1 - \gamma, \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 e^{2u} e^{-e^u} du &= -2\gamma + \gamma^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{6}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma = 0,577216\dots$  is the Euler constant. Assume that the observed values are an i.i.d. sample from the Gumbel distribution.

a. (15) Compute the Fisher information matrix  $I(\beta, \mu)$ .

*Solution: we have*

$$\ell = -\log \beta + \frac{x - \mu}{\beta} - e^{-\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}}.$$

*Taking partial derivatives we get*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \beta^2} &= -\frac{(x-\mu)^2 e^{\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}}}{\beta^4} - \frac{2(x-\mu) e^{\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}}}{\beta^3} + \frac{2(x-\mu)}{\beta^3} + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \beta \partial \mu} &= -\frac{(x-\mu) e^{\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}}}{\beta^3} - \frac{e^{\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}}}{\beta^2} + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \mu^2} &= -\frac{e^{\frac{x-\mu}{\beta}}}{\beta^2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Introduce the new variable  $u = (x - \mu)/\beta$  in all integrals. We get*

$$\begin{aligned} -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \beta^2}\right) &= \frac{1}{\beta^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u^2 e^u + 2u e^u - 2u - 1) e^u e^{-e^u} du \\ -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \beta \partial \mu}\right) &= \frac{1}{\beta^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u e^u + e^u - 1) e^u e^{-e^u} du \\ -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \mu^2}\right) &= \frac{1}{\beta^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^u e^u e^{-e^u} du \end{aligned}$$

*It follows*

$$I(\beta, \mu) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\beta^2} ((1 - \gamma)^2 + \pi^2/6) & \frac{1-\gamma}{\beta^2} \\ \frac{1-\gamma}{\beta^2} & \frac{1}{\beta^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

b. (10) Assume  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\hat{\beta}$  are maximum likelihood estimates based on observed values  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . Give an approximate 95%-confidence interval for both parameters based on the observed values.

*Solution: we compute*

$$I^{-1}(\beta, \mu) = \frac{6\beta^2}{\pi^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 + \gamma \\ -1 + \gamma & (1 - \gamma)^2 + \pi^2/6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We get

$$\text{se}(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sqrt{6}\hat{\beta}}{\pi\sqrt{n}} \quad \text{in} \quad \text{se}(\hat{\mu}) = \frac{\sqrt{6((1-\gamma)^2 + \pi^2/6)}\hat{\beta}}{\pi\sqrt{n}}.$$

The confidence intervals follow.

3. (25) Assume that your observations are pairs  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ . Assume the pairs are an i.i.d. sample from the bivariate normal density

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2 - 2\rho(x-\mu)(y-\nu) + (y-\nu)^2}{2(1-\rho^2)}}.$$

Assume that  $\rho \in (-1, 1)$  is known. We would like to test the hypothesis

$$H_0: \mu = \nu \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1: \mu \neq \nu.$$

a. (10) Find the maximum likelihood estimates for  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

*Solution: the log-likelihood function is*

$$\begin{aligned} & -n \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log(1 - \rho^2) \\ & - \frac{1}{2(1 - \rho^2)} \sum_{k=1}^n [(x_k - \mu)^2 - 2\rho(x_k - \mu)(y_k - \nu) + (y_k - \nu)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

*Taking partial derivatives we get*

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \sum_{k=1}^n [(x_k - \mu) - \rho(y_k - \nu)]$$

*and*

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \nu} = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \sum_{k=1}^n [-\rho(x_k - \mu) + (y_k - \nu)].$$

*The partial derivatives are set to 0 and we get the system of linear equations equal to*

$$\begin{aligned} n\mu - \rho n\nu &= \sum_{k=1}^n x_k - \rho \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \\ -\rho n\mu + n\nu &= -\rho \sum_{k=1}^n x_k + \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \end{aligned}$$

*Dividing by  $n$  and solving for  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  we get*

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{x} \quad \text{in} \quad \hat{\nu} = \bar{y}.$$

b. (10) Find the likelihood ratio statistic for testing the above hypothesis. What is the approximate distribution of the test statistic under  $H_0$ ?

*Solution: if  $\mu = \nu$ , the likelihood function reduces to*

$$\begin{aligned} & -n \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log(1 - \rho^2) \\ & - \frac{1}{2(1 - \rho^2)} \sum_{k=1}^n [(x_k - \mu)^2 - 2\rho(x_k - \mu)(y_k - \mu) + (y_k - \mu)^2]. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \sum_{k=1}^n [(x_k - \mu) - \rho(y_k - \mu) - \rho(x_k - \mu) + (y_k - \mu)] .$$

The constrained maximum likelihood estimate is

$$\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\nu} = \frac{\bar{x} + \bar{y}}{2} .$$

By definition

$$\lambda = 2 \log(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu} | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) - 2 \log(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu} | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) .$$

In more compact form we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\nu} | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= -n \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log(1 - \rho^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2(1 - \rho^2)} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 - n\bar{x}^2 - 2\rho \left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k - n\bar{x}\bar{y} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2 - n\bar{y}^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu} | \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= -n \log 2\pi - \frac{n}{2} \log(1 - \rho^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2(1 - \rho^2)} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 - n\bar{x}(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) + \frac{n}{4}(\bar{x} + \bar{y})^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\rho \left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k - \frac{n\bar{x}}{2}(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) - \frac{n\bar{y}}{2}(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) + \frac{n}{4}(\bar{x} + \bar{y})^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2 - n\bar{y}(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) + \frac{n}{4}(\bar{x} + \bar{y})^2 \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2(1 - \rho^2)} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k^2 + y_k^2 - 2\rho x_k y_k) - n(1 - \rho)(\bar{x} + \bar{y})^2 \right] . \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\lambda = \frac{n}{1 - \rho^2} \left[ \bar{x}^2 - 2\rho\bar{x}\bar{y} + \bar{y}^2 - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho)(\bar{x} + \bar{y})^2 \right]$$

or alternatively

$$\lambda = \frac{n}{2 - 2\rho} (\bar{x} - \bar{y})^2 .$$

The approximate distribution of  $\lambda$  is  $\chi^2(1)$  by Wilks's theorem. In fact, the  $\chi^2(1)$  distribution is the exact distribution of  $\lambda$ .

- c. (5) What is the distribution of  $X - Y$  if  $H_0$  holds? Can you use the result to give an alternative test statistic to test the above hypothesis? What is the distribution of your test statistic under  $H_0$ ?

*Solution: The distribution of the difference if  $H_0$  holds is  $N(0, 2 - 2\rho)$ . We can apply the usual  $z$ -test to the observed values  $z_1 = x_1 - y_1, \dots, x_n - y_n$  since the variance is known. We get exactly the test from the previous part.*

4. (25) Assume the regression equations are

$$Y_k = \alpha + \beta x_k + \epsilon_k$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The error terms satisfy the assumptions that

$$E(\epsilon_k) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{var}(\epsilon_k) = \sigma^2(1 + \tau^2)$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and

$$\text{cov}(\epsilon_k, \epsilon_l) = \sigma^2 \tau^2$$

for  $k \neq l$ , where  $\tau^2$  is assumed to be a known constant. Assume that  $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k = 0$ .

a. (5) Let

$$\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k Y_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2}$$

be the ordinary least squares estimators of the two regression parameters. Show that the estimators are unbiased.

*Solution: from the assumptions we have*

$$E \left( \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ \hat{\beta} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (\alpha + \beta + E(\epsilon_k)) \\ \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k (\alpha + \beta x_k + E(\epsilon_k))}{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

b. (5) Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha} \\ \tilde{\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k Y_k \\ \sum_{k=1}^n b_k Y_k \end{pmatrix}$$

be a linear unbiased estimator of the regression parameters. Compute

$$\text{cov}(\tilde{\alpha} - \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha}).$$

*Solution: by assumption*

$$E \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k E(Y_k) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k (\alpha + \beta x_k) = \alpha$$

which means  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k = 1$ . Compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cov}(\tilde{\alpha} - \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \text{cov} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \left( a_k - \frac{1}{n} \right) Y_k, \sum_{l=1}^n Y_l \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( a_k - \frac{1}{n} \right) \sigma^2 (1 + \tau^2) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k \neq l} \frac{1}{n} \left( a_k - \frac{1}{n} \right) \sigma^2 \tau^2 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

- c. (5) Find the best unbiased linear estimator of  $\alpha$ .

*Solution: compute*

$$\text{var}(\tilde{\alpha}) = \text{var}(\tilde{\alpha} - \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\alpha}) + \text{var}(\hat{\alpha}) + \text{var}(\tilde{\alpha} - \hat{\alpha}) \geq \text{var}(\hat{\alpha}).$$

*The assertion follows.*

- d. (10) Find the best unbiased linear estimator of  $\beta$ .

*Solution: by assumption*

$$E\left(\tilde{\beta}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k(\alpha + \beta x_k)$$

which implies  $\sum_{k=1}^n b_k = 0$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^n b_k x_k = 1$ . Denote  $\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 = s$  and compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cov}(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) \\ &= \text{cov}\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left(b_k - \frac{x_k}{s}\right) Y_k, \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{x_l}{s} Y_l\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(b_k - \frac{x_k}{s}\right) \left(\frac{x_k}{s}\right) \sigma^2(1 + \tau^2) \\ & \quad \sum_{k \neq l} \left(b_k - \frac{x_k}{s}\right) \frac{x_l}{s} \sigma^2 \tau^2 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

*The assertion about the best unbiased linear estimator follows the same way as in c.*