School of Economics Doctoral Programme in Economics Probability and statistics Written examination February 10th, 2023

NAME AND SURNAME: _____

ID:

INSTRUCTIONS

Read the problems carefull before staring your work. There are four problems. You can have a sheet with formulae and a mathematical handbook. Write your solutions on the paper provided. You have two hours.

Problem	a.	b.	c.	d.	
1.				•	/
2.				•	
3.				•	
4.				•	
Total			\mathcal{O}		

1. (25) Suppose a stratified sample is taken from a population of size N. The strata are of size N_1, N_2, \ldots, N_K , and the simple random samples are of size n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_K . Denote by μ the population mean and by σ^2 the population variance for the entire population, and by μ_k and σ_k^2 the population means and the population variances for the strata.

a. (5) Show that

$$\sigma^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k} \sigma_{k}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k} (\mu_{k} - \mu)^{2}$$

where $w_k = \frac{N_k}{N}$ for k = 1, 2, ..., K.

Solution: by definition we have

$$\sigma^{2} = \frac{1}{N} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{k}} (y_{ki} - \mu)^{2} \right)$$

where y_{ki} is the value for the *i*-th unit in the *k*-th stratum. Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N_k} (y_{ki} - \mu)^2 =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} (y_{ki} - \mu_k + \mu_k - \mu)^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} (y_{ki} - \mu_k)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} (\mu_k - \mu)^2 + 2(\mu_k - \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} (y_{ki} - \mu)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} (y_{ki} - \mu_k)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} (\mu_k - \mu)^2$$

$$= N_k \sigma_k^2 + N_k (\mu_k - \mu)^2.$$

Using this in the above summation gives the result.

b. (10) Let \bar{Y}_k be the sample average in the k-th stratum for k = 1, 2, ..., K and $\bar{Y} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k \bar{Y}_k$ the unbiased estomator of the population mean. The estimators $\bar{Y}_1, \ldots, \bar{Y}_n$ are assumed to be independent. To estimate σ^2 , we need to estimate the quantity

$$\sigma_b^2 = \sum_{k=1}^K w_k (\mu_k - \mu)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^K w_k \mu_k^2 - \mu^2.$$

The estimator

$$\hat{\sigma}_b^2 = \sum_{k=1}^K w_k \bar{Y}_k^2 - \bar{Y}^2$$

is suggested. Show that

$$E(\hat{\sigma}_b^2) = \sum_{k=1}^K w_k (1 - w_k) \operatorname{var}(\bar{Y}_k) + \sum_{k=1}^K w_k \mu_k^2 - \mu^2.$$

Solution: we know that

$$E(\bar{Y}_k^2) = \operatorname{var}(\bar{Y}_k^2) + \mu_k^2$$

and

$$E(\bar{Y}^2) = \operatorname{var}(\bar{Y}) + \mu^2 \,.$$

We have

$$E(\hat{\sigma}_{b}^{2}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k} \left(\operatorname{var}(\bar{Y}_{k}^{2}) + \mu_{k}^{2} \right) - \operatorname{var}(\bar{Y}) - \mu^{2}.$$

Taking into account that

$$\operatorname{var}(\bar{Y}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k^2 \operatorname{var}(\bar{Y}_k)$$

the result follows.

c. (10) Is there an unbiased estimator of σ^2 ? Explain your answer.

Solution: we know that

$$\sigma^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k} \sigma_{k}^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_{k} (\mu_{k} - \mu)^{2}$$

We have unbiased estimators for σ_k^2 . The second term can be estimated by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k \bar{Y}_k^2 - \bar{Y}^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k (1 - w_k) \frac{\hat{\sigma}_k^2}{n_k} \cdot \frac{N_k - n_k}{N_k - 1} \,.$$

This last term is an unbiased estimator of the second term.

2. (25) Gauss's gamma distribution is given by the density

$$f(x,y) = \sqrt{\frac{\nu}{2\pi}} \sqrt{y} e^{-y} e^{-\frac{\nu y(x-\mu)^2}{2}}.$$

for $-\infty < x < \infty$ and y > 0 and $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, \infty)$. Assume that the observations are pairs $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ generated as independent random pairs $(X_1, Y_1), \dots, (X_n, Y_n)$ with density f(x, y).

a. (10) Compute the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters.

Solution: the log-likelihood function is

$$\ell = \frac{n}{2} \log\left(\frac{2\nu}{\pi}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log y_k - y_k\right) - \frac{\nu}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k (x_k - \mu)^2.$$

Set the partial derivatives to 0 to get

$$\frac{n}{2\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k (x_k - \mu)^2 = 0$$

and

$$\nu \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k(x_k - \mu) = 0.$$

The second equation gives

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k}{\sum_{k=1}^n y_k} \,.$$

Insert $\hat{\mu}$ into the second equation to get

$$\hat{\nu} = \frac{n}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k (x_k - \hat{\mu})^2}.$$

b. (10) Find the Fisher information matrix. Assume as known that $E(XY) = \mu$. Compute E(Y) yourself by computing the marginal density of Y.

Solution: we compute the second partial derivatives of the likelihood function for n = 1:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \mu^2} = -\nu y_1$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \nu^2} = -\frac{1}{2\nu^2}$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell}{\partial \mu \partial \nu} = y_1 (x_1 - \mu)$$

Integrating the density with respect to x gives that $Y \sim \exp(1)$, and hence $E(Y_1) = 1$. It follows that

$$I(\mu,\nu) = \begin{pmatrix} \nu & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{2\nu^2} \end{pmatrix} \,.$$

c. (5) Give the approximate standard error of the maximum likelihood estimates.

Solution: using the Fisher's information matrix gives

$$\operatorname{se}(\hat{\mu}) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{n\nu}} \quad and \quad \operatorname{se}(\hat{\nu}) \approx \frac{\sqrt{2\nu}}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

3. (20) Assume that your observations are pairs $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$. Assume the pairs are an i.i.d. sample from the bivariate normal density

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{1-\rho^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2 - 2\rho(x-\mu)(y-\nu) + (y-\nu)^2}{2(1-\rho^2)}}$$

Assume that $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ is known. We would like to test the hypothesis

$$H_0: \mu = \nu$$
 versus $H_1: \mu \neq \nu$.

a. (10) Find the maximum likelihood estimates for μ and ν .

Solution: derivation, after cancelling constants, gives the equations

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k - \mu) - \rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} (y_k - \nu) = 0$$
$$-\rho \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k - \mu) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (y_k - \nu) = 0$$

Dividing by n and rearranging yields

$$\mu - \rho \nu = \bar{x} - \rho \bar{y}$$
$$-\rho \mu + \nu = -\rho \bar{x} + \bar{y}$$

The solutions are $\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}$ and $\hat{\nu} = \bar{y}$. If $\mu = \nu$, the log-likelihood function becomes

$$\log\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{1-\rho^2}}\right) - \frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)}\sum_{k=1}^n \left((x_k-\mu)^2 - 2\rho(x_k-\mu)(y_k-\mu) + (y_k-\mu)^2\right).$$

Taking derivatives we get

$$\frac{1}{2(1-\rho^2)}\sum_{k=1}^n \left(-2(x_k-\mu)+2\rho(y_k-\mu)+2\rho(x_k-\mu)-2(y_k-\mu)\right).$$

Equating to zero yields

$$2n(1-\rho)\mu = (1-\rho)\sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k + y_k),$$

and

$$\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\nu} = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_k + y_k).$$

b. (10) Find the likelihood ratio statistic for testing the above hypothesis. What is the approximate distribution of the test statistic under H_0 ?

Solution: we have

$$\lambda = 2\ell(\hat{\mu},\hat{
u}) - 2\ell(ilde{\mu}, ilde{
u})$$
 .

Denote

$$\bar{z} = \frac{\bar{x} + \bar{y}}{2} \,.$$

Using the above estimates yields

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{(1-\rho^2)} \left((x_k - \bar{x})^2 - 2\rho(x_k - \bar{x})(y_k - \bar{y}) + (y_k - \bar{y})^2 \right) \\ - \frac{1}{(1-\rho^2)} \left((x_k - \bar{z})^2 - 2\rho(x_k - \bar{z})(y_k - \bar{z}) + (y_k - \bar{z})^2 \right).$$

After some manipulation we get

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \left(-n(\bar{x}^2 - 2\rho\bar{x}\bar{y} + \bar{y}^2) + 2n(1 - \rho)\bar{z}^2 \right) \,.$$

The approximate distribution of λ under H_0 is $\chi^2(1)$.

c. (5) What is the distribution of $\overline{X} - \overline{Y}$ if H_0 holds? Can you use the result to give an alternative test statistic to test the above hypothesis? What is the distribution of your test statistic under H_0 ?

Solution: if H_0 holds, we have $\sqrt{n} \left(\bar{X} - \bar{Y} \right) \sim N(0, 2(1-\rho))$. An alternative test statistic would be

$$Z = \frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\bar{X} - \bar{Y}\right)}{\sqrt{2(1-\rho)}}$$

which is standard normal. We reject H_0 if $|Z| \ge z_{\alpha}$ where z_{α} is such that $P(|Z| \ge z_{\alpha}) = \alpha$.

4. (25) Assume the regression equations are

$$Y_k = \alpha + \beta x_k + \epsilon_k$$

for k = 1, 2, ..., n. The error terms satisfy the assumptions that

$$E(\epsilon_k) = 0$$
 and $var(\epsilon_k) = \sigma^2(1 + \tau^2)$

for k = 1, 2, ..., n, and

$$\operatorname{cov}(\epsilon_k, \epsilon_l) = \sigma^2 \tau^2$$

for $k \neq l$, where τ^2 is assumed to be a known constant. Assume that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k = 0$.

a. (10) Denote $\overline{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_k$. Compute

$$\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_k - c\bar{Y}, Y_l - c\bar{Y}\right)$$

for $k \neq l$. Here c is an arbitrary constant.

Solution: from the assumptions we have

$$\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_k, \bar{Y}\right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left(1 + n\tau^2\right)$$

and

$$\operatorname{cov}\left(\bar{Y},\bar{Y}\right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left(1 + n\tau^2\right) \,.$$

We have

$$\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{k} - c\bar{Y}, Y_{l} - c\bar{Y}\right)$$

$$= \operatorname{cov}(Y_{k}, Y_{l}) - 2c \cdot \operatorname{cov}\left(Y_{k}, \bar{Y}\right) + c^{2} \cdot \operatorname{cov}\left(\bar{Y}, \bar{Y}\right)$$

$$= \sigma^{2}\left(\tau^{2} - \frac{2c}{n}\left(1 + n\tau^{2}\right) + \frac{c^{2}}{n}\left(1 + n\tau^{2}\right)\right).$$

b. (10) Find an explicit formula for the best linear unbiased estimator of β . Hint: choose

$$c = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + n\tau^2}}$$

Solution: with the above choice of c we have that $c \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\operatorname{cov}\left(Y_k - c\bar{Y}, Y_l - c\bar{Y}\right) = 0$$

for $k \neq l$. Define

$$Y_k = Y_k - cY \,,$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - c & x_1 \\ 1 - c & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 - c & x_n \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\tilde{\epsilon}_k = \epsilon_k - c\bar{\epsilon}$

We have

$$\tilde{Y}_k = \alpha(1-c) + \beta x_k + \tilde{\epsilon}_k$$

for k = 1, 2, ..., n. The new regression equations satisfy the usual assumptions of the Gauss-Markov theorem. The best linear estimators of the regression parameters are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ \hat{\beta} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n(1-c)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (1-c) \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{Y}_k \\ \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \tilde{Y}_k \end{pmatrix} .$$

We get

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k \tilde{Y}_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2} \cdot = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k Y_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2}.$$

The last equality follows from the assumption $\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k = 0$.

c. (5) Compute the variance of the best linear unbiased estimator $\hat{\beta}$.

Solution: we compute directly

$$\operatorname{var}(\hat{\beta}) = \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k Y_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2}\right) \\ = \frac{\sigma^2}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2\right)^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2 (1+\tau^2) + \sum_{\substack{k,l\\k \neq l}} x_k x_l \tau^2\right) \\ = \frac{\sigma^2}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2\right)^2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2 (1+\tau^2) \\ = \frac{\sigma^2 (1+\tau^2)}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_k^2}$$