CLOSED FORM FORMULA FOR THE NUMBER OF RESTRICTED COMPOSITIONS

GASPER JAKLI ^ˇ C, VITO VITRIH ^ˇ [∨] **and EMIL ZAGAR ˇ**

Abstract

In this paper, compositions of a natural number are studied. The number of restricted compositions is given in a closed form, and some applications are presented.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification.* primary 05A17; secondary 68R05. *Keywords and phrases:* composition, partition, restricted, maximal element, minimal element.

1. Introduction

Compositions and partitions of a natural number *n* frequently appear in research and in practical applications. Although the number of compositions or partitions, satisfying particular requirements, can be obtained from their generating functions, this is a serious drawback, since it requires symbolic computational facilities, exact computations, and because of computational complexity involved. In this paper, we present a closed form formula for the number of restricted compositions, and give some applications of the results.

Let us be more precise. The list of natural numbers t_i , which sum up to a natural number *n*, is an integer composition of *n*. The set of all such lists, where the ordering of the summands matters, is the set of all integer compositions of *n*. The set of restricted integer compositions of *n* is the subset of all compositions that satisfy some additional restrictions, e.g., on the number of summands, on the values of summands, . . . Let *a*, *b*, *n* ∈ N with *a* ≤ *b* ≤ *n*. Let *C*(*n*, *a*, *b*) denote the number of compositions of *n*, such that summands t_i are natural numbers, bounded as $a \le t_i \le b$, for all *i*. Furthermore, let $C(n, k, a, b)$ denote the number of those restricted compositions of *n*, where the number of summands is equal to *k*,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^k t_i = n, \quad a \le t_j \le b, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k.
$$

Clearly,

$$
C(n, a, b) = \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{n}{b} \rceil}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \rfloor} C(n, k, a, b).
$$

2 G. Jaklič, V. Vitrih and E. Žagar

It is trivial to prove that $C(n) := C(n, 1, n) = 2^{n-1}$ and $C(n, k) := C(n, k, 1, n) = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$. There are also known formulas for the special cases

$$
C(n, k, a, n) = {n - ka + k - 1 \choose k - 1}, \quad C(n, a, n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \rfloor} C(n, k, a, n).
$$

Also an obvious recursive relation for the general case

$$
C(n, k, a, b) = \sum_{i=n-b}^{n-a} C(i, k-1, a, b)
$$

is right at hand. Nev[er](#page-7-0)theless, the gener[ati](#page-7-1)ng functions are known for both $C(n, k, a, b)$ and $C(n, a, b)$. They are of the form $([2, 6])$

$$
\left(z^{a}\frac{1-z^{b-a+1}}{1-z}\right)^{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{1-z^{a}\frac{1-z^{b-a+1}}{1-z}}\,,\tag{1}
$$

respectively. We are also interested in a closed-form formula for the number of compositions of *n* with more than one maximal (or minimal) element. We will denote them by $Max(n)$ and $Min(n)$, respectively. Again, there are the generating functions known for $C(n)$ – Max(*n*) and $C(n)$ – Min(*n*) and are of the form

$$
(1-z)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z^j}{1-2z+z^{j+1}} \right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (1-z)^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z^j}{1-z-z^j} \right)^2,
$$

respectively $([6])$.

It is quite easy t[o](#page-1-0) obtain closed form formulas at least for $C(n, 1, b)$ and $C(n, a, b)$, $a > 1$. Namely, by (1)

$$
\frac{1}{1-z\frac{1-z^b}{1-z}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}C(n,1,b)z^n.
$$

Since

$$
\frac{1}{1-z\frac{1-z^b}{1-z}} = \frac{1-z}{1-2z+z^{b+1}} = (1-z)\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (2z-z^{b+1})^i
$$

$$
= (1-z)\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^j {i \choose j} 2^{i-j} z^{i-j} z^{j(b+1)},
$$

the coefficient at z^n becomes

$$
C(n, 1, b) = g(n, b) - g(n - 1, b),
$$

where

$$
g(n, b) := \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i+j \, b = n}} (-1)^j {i \choose j} 2^{i-j}.
$$

Similarly $C(n, a, b) = g(n, a, b) - g(n - 1, a, b), a > 1$, where

$$
g(n, a, b) = \sum_{\substack{i,j,\ell \\ i+j(a-1)+\ell(b-a+1)=n}} (-1)^{\ell} \binom{i}{j} \binom{j}{\ell}.
$$

But it seems that deriving an explicit formula for $C(n, k, a, b)$ is a far more difficult problem.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 closed form formulae for the number of restricted compositions and restricted partition[s](#page-4-0) are obtained. They are used as a basis for studying t[wo](#page-5-0) related problems in Section 3. The paper is concluded by some examples in Section 4.

2. Restricted compositions

In this section, our aim is to find a combinatorial closed-form expression for *C*(*n*, *k*, *a*, *b*).

T 2.1. Let $a \le b \le n$ and $\left\lceil \frac{n}{b} \right\rceil \le k \le \left\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \right\rfloor$. To each composition of n assign *a vector i* = (*i*2, *i*3, . . . , *ib*)*, where i^j denotes the frequency of the number j in the composition. Moreover, let*

$$
\alpha_j := n - k(j-1) - \sum_{\ell=j+1}^b (\ell-j+1) i_\ell, \ \beta_j := k - \sum_{\ell=j+1}^b i_\ell, \ \gamma_j := \left\lfloor \frac{n-k - \sum_{\ell=j+1}^b (\ell-1) i_\ell}{j-1} \right\rfloor,
$$

b

j = 2, 3, . . . , *b*. *Then*

(a)
$$
C(n, k, 1, b) = \sum_{\substack{i_2 = \alpha_2, i_3, \dots, i_b \\ \max\{0, \alpha_j\} \le i_j \le \min\{\beta_j, \gamma_j\}}} \prod_{\ell=2}^b \binom{k - \sum_{j=2}^{\ell-1} i_j}{i_\ell}.
$$

(b)
$$
C(n, k, a, b) = C(n - k(a - 1), k, 1, b - (a - 1)).
$$

(c) If
$$
\frac{kb-n}{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}
$$
 and $\frac{ka + (b-a) - n}{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $C(n, k, a, b) = {n - ka + k-1 \choose k-1}$.

P At first, note that the frequency of number 1 is $n - \sum_{\ell=2}^{b} \ell i_{\ell}$ and so the number of summands in the composition is

$$
k(i) := n - \sum_{\ell=2}^{b} (\ell - 1)i_{\ell}.
$$
 (2)

Furthermore, there are exactly

$$
\prod_{\ell=2}^b \binom{k(\boldsymbol{i}) - \sum_{j=2}^{\ell-1} i_j}{i_\ell}
$$

4 G. Jaklič, V. Vitrih and E. Žagar

different compositions with the same vector *i*. Since the number of summands has to be *k*, the only admissible compositions are those with $k(i) = k$. Therefore, the relations

$$
\left(k-\sum_{\ell=j}^b i_\ell\right)(j-1)\geq n-\sum_{\ell=j}^b \ell\,i_\ell\geq k-\sum_{\ell=j}^b i_\ell\geq 0,\quad j=2,3,\ldots,b,
$$

have to be satisfied. With the help of (2), we obtain the appropriate ranges for numbers *ij* ,

$$
\max\{0, \alpha_j\} \le i_j \le \min\{\beta_j, \gamma_j\}, \ 3 \le j \le b, \quad i_2 := \alpha_2 = \gamma_2.
$$

The first formula is therefore proven. In order to show that an additional condition, which requires the summands in the composition to be at least *a*, does not increase the difficulty of the problem, let us define a function

$$
f: \left\{ (t_1, \ldots, t_k), \sum_{i=1}^k t_i = n, a \le t_i \le b \right\} \to
$$

$$
\to \left\{ (s_1, \ldots, s_k), \sum_{i=1}^k s_i = n - k(a - 1), 1 \le s_i \le b - (a - 1) \right\},\
$$

$$
f: (t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k) \mapsto (t_1 - (a - 1), t_2 - (a - 1), \ldots, t_k - (a - 1)),
$$

which is clearly a bijection and thus $C(n, k, a, b) = C(n - k(a - 1), k, 1, b - (a - 1))$. To prove the last statement of the theorem, assume $C(n, k, a, b) = C(m, k, a_2, m)$. Then $m = n + k(m - b)$ and $a_2 = a + m - b$. Hence

$$
m = \frac{kb - n}{k - 1}, \quad a_2 = \frac{ka + (b - a) - n}{k - 1}
$$

.

 \Box

If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $C(m, k, a_2, m)$ is well defined and it follows

$$
C(n, k, a, b) = C(m, k, a_2, m) = C(m - ka_2, k, 0, m - ka_2) = {n - ka + k - 1 \choose k - 1}.
$$

This result can be used to derive some interesting properties of restricted compositions.

C_{2.2.} *The following formulae hold true:*

$$
C(n, k, 1, 2) = {k \choose n - k}, \qquad C(n, 1, 2) = \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}^{n} {k \choose n - k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} {n - k \choose k},
$$

$$
C(n, k, a, a + 1) = {k \choose n - ka}, \qquad C(n, a, a + 1) = \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{n}{a+1} \rceil}^{n} {k \choose n - ka}.
$$

Suppose now that one is interested in restricted partitions. The list of natural numbers, which sum up to *n* and where the ordering of summands is not important, is the set of integer partitions of *n*. The partitions, where the number of summands is equal to *k* and where they are bounded between *a* and *b*, [will](#page-2-1) be denoted by $P(n, k, a, b)$. The following corollary follows directly from Theorem 2.1.

C 2.3. Let $1 \le a \le b \le n$ and $\left\lceil \frac{n}{b} \right\rceil \le k \le \left\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \right\rfloor$. To each partition of n *assign a vector* $\mathbf{i} = (i_2, i_3, \ldots, i_b)$, where i_j denotes the frequency of the [numb](#page-2-1)er j in *the partition. Moreover, let* α_j , β_j *and* γ_j , $j = 2, 3, \ldots, b$, *be as in Theorem* 2.1. Then

1*,*

(a)
$$
P(n, k, 1, b) = \sum_{\substack{i_2 = \alpha_2, i_3, \dots, i_b \\ \max\{0, \alpha_j\} \le i_j \le \min\{\beta_j, \gamma_j\}}}
$$

(b) $P(n, k, a, b) = P(n - k(a - 1), k, 1, b - (a - 1))$.

3. Two related problems

It is interesting to consider the problem of counting the compositions, where more than one maximal (or mini[mal\)](#page-2-1) summand exists. An application will be given in the last section. Using Theorem 2.1, one can prove the following theorem.

T₁ 3.1. *Let* Max(*n*) *denote the number of all compositions of n, such that there are at least two maximal summands, and let* Min(*n*) *denote the number of all compositions of n, such that there are at least two minimal summands. Then*

$$
\begin{split} \text{Max}(n) &= 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{\nu_i=2}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{i} \right\rfloor} \sum_{k=\left\lceil \frac{n - i \nu_i}{i-1} \right\rceil}^{n - i \nu_i} \binom{k + \nu_i}{\nu_i} C(n - i \nu_i, k, 1, i - 1), \\ \text{Min}(n) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor} \sum_{\nu_i=2}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n}{i-1} \right\rfloor} \sum_{k=\text{sign}(n - i \nu_i)}^{\left\lfloor \frac{n - i \nu_i}{i+1} \right\rfloor} \binom{k + \nu_i}{\nu_i} C(n - i \nu_i, k, i + 1, n - i \nu_i). \end{split}
$$

P_c. Let us denote the value of maximal summands by *i* and the frequency of *i* in the composition by v_i . If $i = 1$, then there is exactly one appropriate composition. Let now $i \in \{2, 3, ..., \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \}$ and $v_i \in \{2, 3, ..., \lfloor \frac{n}{i} \rfloor \}$. Consider now the summands, which are smaller than *i*, and denote the number of these summands by $k := k(i, v_i)$. Clearly $\left\lceil \frac{n-i v_i}{i-1} \right\rceil$ ≤ *k* ≤ *n*−*i*ν*_i*. Then there are *C*(*n*−*i*ν_{*i*}, *k*, 1, *i*−1) different possible compositions among them. But now the maximal summands could be arranged through the sequence of summands, which implies $\begin{pmatrix} k+v_i \\ v_i \end{pmatrix}$ ^{+*v_i*}</sup>) possibilities of where to set these v_i maximal summands.

To prove the second formula, let *i* denote the value of minimal summands. Therefore $i \in \{1, 2, ..., \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}$, and $v_i \in \{2, 3, ..., \lfloor \frac{n}{i} \rfloor\}$. Let now *k* denote the number of summands which are greater than *i*. If $n - i v_i = 0$, then $k = 0$ and there is exactly one such composition. Suppose now $n - i v_i > 0$. If $\left\lfloor \frac{n - i v_i}{i+1} \right\rfloor = 0$, there is no appropriate composition, containing ν*ⁱ* summands *i*, otherwise *k* can be any number between 1

6 G. Jaklič, V. Vitrih and E. Žagar

and $\left\lfloor \frac{n - iy_i}{i+1} \right\rfloor$. Further, there are exactly $\binom{k + v_i}{v_i}$ ^{+*v_i*}</sup>) $C(n - i\nu_i, k, i + 1, n - i\nu_i)$ compositions, containing v_i summands *i* and *k* summands greater than *i*.

T 1. Values $Max(n)$ and $Min(n)$ for $n \le 13$.

Let $Max_C(n)$ ($Min_C(n)$) denote the number of compositions of *n*, such that there is exactly one maximal (minimal) summand, respectively.

Since $Max(n) + Max_C(n) = C(n) = 2^{n-1}$ and $Min(n) + Min_C(n) = C(n)$, $Max(n)$ and $Min(n)$ can be computed also via $Max_C(n)$ and $Min_C(n)$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let* Max(*n*) *and* Min(*n*) *be as in Theorem 3.1. Then*

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{Max}_{\text{C}}(n) &= \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{k=\lceil \frac{n-i}{i-1} \rceil}^{n-i} (k+1) \, C(n-i, k, 1, i-1), \\ \text{Min}_{\text{C}}(n) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=\text{sign}(n-i)}^{\lfloor \frac{n-i}{i+1} \rfloor} (k+1) \, C(n-i, k, i+1, n-i). \end{aligned}
$$

P The expressions can be obtained similarly as in the proof of Theorem [3.1.](#page-4-1) \Box

Although it seems easier to obtain $Max(n)$ and $Min(n)$ from $Max_C(n)$ and $Min_C(n)$, let us note, that the time complexity increases this way.

The next important question is the asymptot[ic](#page-5-1) behavior of Max(*n*) and Min(*n*) for large integers *n*. Numerical examples and Table 1 indicate the following conjecture.

C 3.3. *Let* Max(*n*) *and* Min(*n*) *be as in Theorem* [3.1.](#page-4-1) *Then* $M: (n)$

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{Max}(n+1)}{\text{Max}(n)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\text{Min}(n+1)}{\text{Min}(n)} = 2.
$$

4. Examples

An interesting application of Max(*n*) arises in numerical analysis, in particular in asymptotic analysis of th[e g](#page-7-2)[eo](#page-7-3)metric Lagrange interpolation problem by Pythagoreanhodograph (PH) curves $([1, 3])$. Here the number of cases of the problem considered, that need to be studied, can be significantly reduc[ed](#page-7-4) by knowing Max(*n*) in advance. More precisely, if the geometric interpolation (see [4], e.g.) by PH curves of degree *n*

is considered, the unknown interpolating parameters t_i , $i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1$, have to lie in

$$
\mathcal{D} = \left\{ (t_i)_{i=1}^{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} | t_0 := 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{n-1} < 1 =: t_n \right\}.
$$

It turns out that the interpolation problem requires the analysis of a particular nonlinear system of equations involving the unknown t_i only at the boundary of D . Quite clearly, if the point in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} is to be on the boundary of \mathcal{D} , at least two consecutive t_i have to coincide (but not all of them, since $t_0 = 0$ and $t_n = 1$ [\).](#page-6-0) Thus the number of cases considered is equal to $C(n + 1) - 2 = 2ⁿ - 2$ (see Figure 1, e.g.).

F 1. All possible cases for $n = 3$.

Some further observations reduce the problem only to the analysis of particular parts of the boundary. Let

$$
\nu_i := \begin{cases}\n0, & t_{i-1} \neq t_i, \\
\max_{0 \le j \le i-1} \{i - j \mid t_{\ell+1} = t_\ell, j \le \ell \le i - 1\}, & \text{otherwise,} \n\end{cases}
$$

where $i = 1, 2, ..., n$. It turns out that if the sequence $(v_i)_{i=1}^n$ has a unique maximum, the corresponding choice of parameters $(t_i)_{i=1}^{n-1}$ can be skipped in the analysis. But the number of sequences $(v_i)_{i=1}^n$ for which the maximum is not unique is precisely $Max(n + 1)$.

Let us conclude the paper with an anot[he](#page-7-5)r example. In high order parametric polynomial approximation of circular arcs ([5], e.g.), the coefficients of the optimal solution involve the number of restricted partitions of a natural number. Namely, the coefficients of the parametric polynomial approximant $p(t) = (x(t), y(t))^T$, where

$$
x(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \alpha_k t^k, \quad y(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k t^k,
$$

are of the form

$$
\alpha_k = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{k(n-k)} \widetilde{P}(j,k,n-k) \cos\left(\frac{k^2 \pi}{2n} + \frac{\pi}{n}j\right), & k \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\beta_k = \begin{cases}\n0, & k \text{ is even,} \\
\sum_{j=0}^{k(n-k)} \widetilde{P}(j,k,n-k) \sin\left(\frac{k^2 \pi}{2n} + \frac{\pi}{n}j\right), & k \text{ is odd,} \n\end{cases}
$$

where $\widetilde{P}(n, k, b) := \sum_{\ell=1}^{k} P(n, \ell, 1, b).$

5. Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Prof. Marko Petkovšek and Prof. Herbert Wilf for their valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] Rida T. Farouki. *Pythagorean-hodograph curves: algebra and geometry inseparable*, *Geometry and Computing*, Volume 1 (Springer, Berlin, 2008).
- [2] Philippe Flajolet and Robert Sedgewick. *Analytic combinatorics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009).
- [3] Gašper Jaklič, Jernej Kozak, Marjeta Krajnc, Vito Vitrih, and Emil Žagar. 'Geometric Lagrange interpolation by planar cubic Pythagorean-hodographcurves'. *Comput. Aided Geom. Design* **25** (9) (2008), 720–728.
- [4] Gašper Jaklič, Jernej Kozak, Marjeta Krajnc, and Emil Žagar. 'On geometric interpolation by planar parametric polynomial curves'. *Math. Comp.* **76** (260) (2007), 1981–1993.
- [5] Gašper Jaklič, Jernej Kozak, Marjeta Krajnc, and Emil Žagar. 'On geometric interpolation of circle-like curves'. *Comput. Aided Geom. Design* **24** (5) (2007), 241–251.
- [6] N. J. A. Sloan. *The Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, http:*//*www.research.att.com*/∼*njas*/ *sequences* (2008).

Gašper Jaklič, FMF and IMFM, University of Ljubljana, Jadranska 19, Ljubljana, Slovenia,

PINT, University of Primorska, Muzejski trg 2, Koper, Slovenia e-mail: gasper.jaklic@fmf.uni-lj.si

Vito Vitrih, PINT, University of Primorska, Muzejski trg 2, Koper, Slovenia e-mail: vito.vitrih@upr.si

Emil Žagar, FMF and IMFM, University of Ljubljana, Jadranska 19, Ljubljana, Slovenia e-mail: emil.zagar@fmf.uni-lj.si